October 2007



#### **DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION**

2006-2007 School Year Reports

Dear School Board Members and School Personnel:

The Maine Comprehensive Assessment System is the State's measure of student progress in achieving the State standards, known as *Learning Results*, adopted by the Maine Legislature in 1997. The Maine Educational Assessment (MEA) is administered in grades 3 through 8 to meet these state assessment requirements. Since the spring of 2006, the SAT Reasoning Test™ (SAT) has been administered to students in their third year of high school in place of the MEA for state and federal purposes. The move from the MEA to the SAT in grade 11 was made to encourage all students in the goal of attaining college and high-level workplace readiness as well as to measure achievement. This year, the mathematics portion of the SAT Reasoning Test™ was augmented with 18 additional mathematics items (the Math-A test) to more fully measure Maine's *Learning Results*. The combined tests form the Maine High School Assessment (MHSA).

Due to the inclusion of the additional items in mathematics, it was necessary to set new achievement level standards for that discipline this year. The new achievement level standards are the result of a comprehensive process informed by Maine teachers and reviewed by advisory committees. The achievement level standards were not changed for the Critical Reading and Writing sections of the MHSA.

These 2006-2007 Maine High School Assessment Summary Reports contain the results of student performance on the SAT in critical reading, mathematics, and writing reported according to the achievement standards described above and disaggregated by student and school characteristics. This report, together with individual student and subject-specific student roster reports, provides support for use in program evaluation and planning. All scores contained in these reports are included for Maine state and federal reporting purposes only. While scores for many students may also be used for college admission, they may not be used for that purpose if a student received accommodations during the test administration that exceeded those made available by the College Board.

These results reflect scores based on SAT and Math-A test questions that were taken by over 15,000 students who were enrolled in their third year of high school across all Maine public schools. The SAT Reasoning Test™ employs a design that requires students to create a written response to a writing prompt, generate answers to open-ended mathematics questions, and select answers to multiple-choice questions. More information about the design, history, and use of the SAT can be found at: http://www.maine.gov/education/sat\_initiative/.

I look forward to working with you in support of our continued efforts to improve the quality and effectiveness of the instructional opportunities designed to help all students achieve the high standards of the *Learning Results* and graduate from any Maine high school prepared for college, career, and citizenship.

Susan A. Lendron

Sincerely,

Susan A. Gendron

Commissioner of Education



### District Report

Test Date: May 2007

ID: 1251

District: MSAD 57

#### **Contents of the Report**

The report is divided into five main sections including a section describing the students tested and a separate section for the results in each content area.

Торіс	Page
Summary of Scores	2
Summary of Student Participation	3
Critical Reading Results	4-5
Mathematics Results	6-7
Writing Results	8-9

Maine High School Assessment

Year


#### **SUMMARY OF SCORES**


Date: May 2007 District: MSAD 57


## Summary of School, District, and State Scores


**Average Scaled Score** 

icui	School	District	State
Critical Reading 2006–2007		1139	1141
Mathematics 2006–2007		1140	1140
Writing 2006–2007		1138	1141











#### **SUMMARY OF STUDENT PARTICIPATION**

		Er	rol	lme	nt¹								CC	TNC	ΈN	IT.	AR	EΑ	PA	RT	TIC	ΙPΑ	TIC	N <sup>2</sup>					
CATEGORY OF	•	during				w		C	Critical	Read	ing			ı	Mathe	matic	s				Wri	ting							
PARTICIPATION	Scl	hool	Dis	trict	s	tate	Sc	hool	Dis	strict	S	tate	Scl	nool	Dist	trict	St	ate	Sch	ool	Dis	trict	St	ate	Sc	nool	Dist	trict	State
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N %
Total number of students			283	100	16094	100			253	89	15236	95			268	95	15599	97			252	89	15229	95					
Ethnicity African American			2	1	333	2			2	100	295	89			2	100	308	92			2	100	294	88					
American Indian/Native Alaskan			2	1	91	1			2	100	81	89			2	100	84	92			2	100	81	89					
Asian/Pacific Islander			3	1	226	1			3	100	196	87			3	100	204	90			3	100	193	85					
Hispanic			1	0	140	1			1	100	124	89			1	100	130	93			1	100	124	89					
White			275	97	15304	95			245	89	14540	95			260	95	14873	97			244	89	14537	95					
Not Reported			0	0	0	0			0	0	0	0			0	0	0	0			0	0	0	0					
Identified disability			47	17	2351	15			36	77	2047	87			42	89	2169	93			35	74	2044	87					
Current LEP			0	0	285	2			0	0	237	83			0	0	250	88			0	0	233	82					
Economically disadvantaged			68	24	3924	24			63	93	3561	91			65	96	3702	94			63	93	3558	91					
Migrant			0	0	1	0			0	0	1	100			0	0	1	100			0	0	1	100					

MODE OF		(	Critical	Read	ing				Mathe	matic	s			Wı	iting								
	:	School	Dis	trict	St	ate	Sch	nool	Dis	trict	St	ate	School	Di	strict	St	ate	Sch	nool	Dist	rict	Stat	te
PARTICIPATION <sup>3</sup>	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N %	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Participation without accommodations			218	77	13484	84			233	82	13851	86		218	77	13484	84						
Identified disability (PET/IEP)			17	8	743	6			23	10	865	6		17	8	743	6						
LEP			0	0	187	1			0	0	204	1		0	0	187	1						
504 plan			0	0	2	0			0	0	3	0		0	0	2	0						
Participation with accommodations			33	12	1570	10			33	12	1569	10		33	12	1570	10						
Identified disability (PET/IEP)			17	52	1127	72			17	52	1126	72		17	52	1127	72						
LEP			0	0	46	3			0	0	46	3		0	0	46	3						
504 plan			0	0	0	0			0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0						
Other			16	48	407	26			16	48	407	26		16	48	407	26						
Participation through alternate assessment (PAAP)			2	1	178	1			2	1	179	1		1	0	175	1						
Identified disability (PET/IEP)			2	100	177	99			2	100	178	99		1	100	174	99						
LEP			0	0	0	0			0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0						
504 plan			0	0	0	0			0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0						
Approved non-participation in reading – 1st year LEP			0	0	4	0																	
Approved non-participation – special consideration			0	0	14	0			0	0	14	0		0	0	14	0						
Non-participation – other			30	11	844	5			15	5	481	3		31	11	851	5						

<sup>1</sup> Percents are the percentage of students enrolled in each participation category.3 Percents are the percentage of students in each content area by mode.

Maine High School Assessment

### CRITICAL READING RESULTS

<b>ACHIEVEMENT LEVELS:</b> Achievement level definitions describe the quality of a student on state-level assessments in relation to the reading standards for achieving Maine's <i>Learning</i>	•	ST	UDENTS A	AT EACH	ACHIEVE	MENT LEV	ÆL.
Maine state-level assessments measure the knowledge and skills of students by sampling iden	_	Scl	nool	Dis	trict	Sta	ate
standards within reading at the grade level assessed. Evidence includes responses to multiple items in an "on demand" setting.	-choice	N	%	N	%	N	%
<b>Exceeds the Standards</b> – The student's work demonstrates the ability to read and interpret literary and informational texts appropriate for the grade level by applying a variety of reasoning skills and prior knowledge as the student draws in-depth inferences, analyzes texts for subtle clues, synthesizes information across texts, and uses knowledge of text structures and literary devices to make deeper connections within or across texts to increase comprehension. (scaled score 1161-1180)	2005-2006 2006-2007 Cum. Avg.			5 <b>14</b> 10	2 <b>6</b> 4	1079 <b>1168</b> 1124	7 <b>8</b> 7
Meets the Standards – The student's work demonstrates the ability to read and interpret literary and informational texts appropriate for the grade level by applying a variety of reasoning skills and prior knowledge as the student draws inferences, identifies summary statements, connects ideas within and across texts, and uses knowledge of text structures and literary devices to increase comprehension. (scaled score 1141-1160)	2005-2006 2006-2007 Cum. Avg.			72 <b>84</b> 78	30 <b>33</b> 32	5697 <b>5714</b> 5706	38 <b>38</b> 38
Partially Meets the Standards – The student's work demonstrates an inconsistent ability to read and interpret literary and informational texts appropriate for the grade level. The student's ability to use a variety of reasoning skills and prior knowledge varies depending on the texts as s/he draws inferences, identifies summary statements, connects ideas within and across texts, and uses knowledge of text structures and literary devices to support comprehension. (scaled score 1129-1140)	2005-2006 <b>2006-2007</b> Cum. Avg.			93 <b>92</b> 93	39 <b>37</b> 38	4772 <b>4728</b> 4750	32 <b>31</b> 31
<b>Does Not Meet the Standards</b> – The student's work demonstrates a limited ability to read and interpret literary and informational texts appropriate for the grade level. The student's responses are often incorrect leaving the impression that the student found it difficult to use a variety of reasoning skills and prior knowledge as s/he draws inferences, identifies summary statements, connects ideas within and across texts, or uses knowledge of text structures and literary devices to support comprehension. (scaled score 1100-1128)	2005-2006 2006-2007 Cum. Avg.			67 <b>61</b> 64	28 <b>24</b> 26	3595 <b>3444</b> 3520	24 <b>23</b> 23



# CRITICAL READING RESULTS BY REPORTING SUBGROUPS

REPORTING CATEGORIES   Tested   E   M   P   D   Mean Scaled Score   N   %   %   %   %   %   %   %   %   %	P D  % % 31 23  26 52 33 35 34 26 34 33 31 22  26 63 32 17	Scal Scol Scol Scol Scol Scol Scol Scol Sco
Main	31 23 26 52 33 35 34 26 34 33 31 22	6 114 2 113 5 113 6 113 3 113 2 114
Ethnicity   African American   American Indian/Native Alaskan   Asian/Pacific Islander   Hispanic   American Indian/Native Alaskan   Asian/Pacific Islander   Hispanic   American Indian/Native Alaskan   Asian/Pacific Islander   Hispanic   Hispani	26 52 33 35 34 26 34 33 31 22	2 113 5 113 6 113 3 113 2 114
African American American Indian/Native Alaskan Asian/Pacific Islander Hispanic White White Not Reported	33 35 34 26 34 33 31 22 26 63	5 113 6 113 3 113 2 114
African American American Indian/Native Alaskan Asian/Pacific Islander Hispanic White White Not Reported	33 35 34 26 34 33 31 22 26 63	5 113 6 113 3 113 2 114
Asian/Pacific Islander Hispanic White Not Reported    1	34 26 34 33 31 22 26 63	6 113 3 113 2 114
Hispanic White Not Reported	34 33 31 22 26 63	3 113 2 114
Hispanic White Not Reported	34 33 31 22 26 63	3 113 2 114
White Not Reported         243         5         33         37         25         1139         14370         8         39           Identified disability         Yes         34         3         6         26         65         1128         1870         1         10           No         217         6         38         38         18         1141         13184         9         42           Limited English proficient students         Current LEP in first year         0         2         2         7         0         0           Current LEP beyond first year         0         0         2         2         1         10           Economically disadvantaged         4         63         3         24         51         22         1137         3464         3         25           No         1 <td< td=""><td>31 22 26 63</td><td>2 114</td></td<>	31 22 26 63	2 114
Not Reported    Comparison   Co	26 63	
Identified disability         Yes         34         3         6         26         65         1128         1870         1         10           No         217         6         38         38         18         1141         13184         9         42           Limited English proficient students         Current LEP in first year         0         Use         0         Use         0	i i	3 112
Yes         No         34         3         6         26         65         1128         1870         1         10           No         217         6         38         38         18         1141         13184         9         42           Limited English proficient students Current LEP in first year         0         Use         Use         7         0	i i	3 112
Yes         34         3         6         26         65         1128         1870         1         10           No         217         6         38         38         18         1141         13184         9         42           Limited English proficient students         Current LEP in first year         0         Limited English proficient students         7         0         0           Current LEP beyond first year         0         Limited English proficient students         7         0         0           Current LEP beyond first year         63         3         24         51         22         1137         3464         3         25           Yes         63         3         24         51         22         1137         3464         3         25           No         188         6         37         32         25         1140         11590         9         42	i i	3 112
No	i i	
Limited English proficient students         Current LEP in first year         0		7   114
Current LEP in first year Current LEP beyond first year  Economically disadvantaged Yes No Migrant  O  0  0  0  0  1  7  0  0  226  1  10  10  10  10  10  10  10  10  10		
Current LEP beyond first year    Current LEP beyond first year   0		
Economically disadvantaged Yes No Migrant    Conomically disadvantaged	0 100	00 112
Yes No	25 64	4 112
Yes No		
No   188   6   37   32   25   1140   11590   9   42   Migrant	34 37	7 113
Migrant		
	31 19	9 114
Yes		
No 251 6 33 37 24 1139 15053 8 38	31 23	3 114
Gender		
Female	33 19	9 114
Male   130 8 35 32 26 1140 7653 8 36	29 27	7 114
Not Reported 0 0 0		
Title 1A targeted program		
Yes   1   68   1   21	32 46	l l
No 250 6 34 37 24 1139 14986 8 38	31 23	3 114
Gifted/talented program		
Yes 0 1		
No 251 6 33 37 24 1139 15053 8 38	31 23	3 114
100	01 20	`   '' <sup>4</sup>

#### MATHEMATICS RESULTS

Date: May 2007 District: MSAD 57

**ACHIEVEMENT LEVELS:** Achievement level definitions describe the quality of a student's responses STUDENTS AT EACH ACHIEVEMENT LEVEL\* on state-level assessments in relation to the mathematics standards for achieving Maine's Learning Results. Maine state-level assessments measure the knowledge and skills of students by sampling identified School District State standards within mathematics at the grade level assessed. Evidence includes responses to a combination of multiple-choice items and items requiring student-created responses in an "on demand" setting. Ν % Ν Ν % Exceeds the Standards – The student's work demonstrates in-depth understanding of essential concepts in mathematics, including the ability to make multiple connections among central ideas. The student's responses demonstrate the ability to synthesize 2006-2007 9 578 information, analyze and solve difficult or unfamiliar problems, and apply complex concepts. (scaled score 1161-1180) Meets the Standards – The student's work demonstrates an understanding of essential concepts in mathematics, including the ability to make connections among central ideas. 2006-2007 5481 36 93 The student's responses demonstrate the ability to reason, analyze and solve problems, and apply concepts. (scaled score 1141-1160) Partially Meets the Standards – The student's work demonstrates incomplete understanding of essential concepts in mathematics and inconsistent connections among 2006-2007 78 29 4754 31 central ideas. The student's responses demonstrate some ability to analyze and solve problems and apply concepts. (scaled score 1133-1140) **Does Not Meet the Standards** – The student's work demonstrates limited understanding of essential concepts in mathematics and infrequent or inaccurate connections among 2006-2007 86 32 4607 30 central ideas. The student's responses demonstrate minimal ability to solve problems and apply concepts. (scaled score 1100-1132)

<sup>\*</sup>Standards were reset for mathematics in 2007 so historical data are not available.



# MATHEMATICS RESULTS BY REPORTING SUBGROUPS

					Sch	nool							Dist	trict					St	ate		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Tested		E		М		P	D		Mean Scaled	Tested	E	М	Р	D	Mean Scaled	Tested	E	М	Р	D	Mean Scaled
	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score
All Students											266	3	35	29	32	1140	15420	4	36	31	30	1140
Ethnicity																						
African American											2						304	1	13	27	59	113
American Indian/Native Alaskan											2						81	2	16	42	40	1137
Asian/Pacific Islander											3						204	6	40	25	29	114
Hispanic											1						129	3	29	25	43	1138
White											258	3	34	29	33	1139	14702	4	36	31	29	114
Not Reported											0						0					
Identified disability																						
Yes											40	0	5	15	80	1130	1991	0	6	18	75	113
No											226	4	40	32	24	1141	13429	4	40	33	23	1142
Limited English proficient students																						
Current LEP in first year											0						7	0	0	0	100	112
											0						243	2	14	19	65	1133
Current LEP beyond first year											0						243		14	19	65	1100
Economically disadvantaged																						
Yes											65	2	26	31	42	1136	3606	1	20	31	48	1136
No											201	4	38	29	29	1141	11814	5	40	31	24	1142
Migrant																						
Yes											0						1					
No											266	3	35	29	32	1140	15419	4	36	31	30	1140
O-mala.																						
Gender											100	•	0.5	00	00	4400	7500		05	00	00	4440
Female											129	0 7	35	32	33 31	1138	7566	3	35	33 29	29	1140
Male											137	/	35	27	31	1141	7854	5	36	29	31	114
Not Reported											0						0					
Title 1A targeted program																						
Yes											1						73	0	14	26	60	1134
No											265	3	35	29	32	1140	15347	4	36	31	30	1140
											"-		1					•	1		-	
Gifted/talented program																						
Yes											0				}		1					
No											266	3	35	29	32	1140	15419	4	36	31	30	1140
	1		į	1	į	1	i	1		1			i	i	i	1	I		i	į	i	1

### **WRITING RESULTS**

<b>ACHIEVEMENT LEVELS:</b> Achievement level definitions describe the quality of a student on state-level assessments in relation to the writing standards for achieving Maine's <i>Learning</i>	_	ST	UDENTS A	AT EACH	ACHIEVE	MENT LEV	ÆL.
Maine state-level assessments measure the knowledge and skills of students by sampling iden	ntified	Sch	nool	Dis	trict	Sta	ate
standards within writing at the grade level assessed. Evidence includes responses to a combin multiple-choice items and items requiring student-created responses in an "on demand" setting		N	%	N	%	N	%
Exceeds the Standards – The student's responses demonstrate skillful ability to select clear, precise sentence improvements that are free of awkwardness or ambiguity; to recognize grammar and usage errors; and to select revisions that add to the clarity, precision and overall effectiveness of a passage. The student's essay demonstrates an effectively developed and insightful point of view on the issue and outstanding critical thinking, with clearly appropriate examples, reasons, and other evidence to support a position. The essay is well-organized and clearly focused, demonstrating clear coherence and smooth progression of ideas and free of most errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics. (scaled score 1161-1180)	2005-2006 2006-2007 Cum. Avg.			5 <b>6</b> 6	2 <b>2</b> 2	952 <b>937</b> 945	6 <b>6</b> 6
Meets the Standards – The student's responses demonstrate ability to select clear sentence improvements that are free of awkwardness or ambiguity; to recognize grammar and usage errors; and to select revisions that add to the clarity and overall effectiveness of a passage. The student's essay demonstrates an effectively developed point of view on the issue and strong critical thinking, with generally appropriate examples, reasons, and other evidence to support a position. The essay is well-organized and focused, demonstrating coherence and progression of ideas and generally free of most errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics. (scaled score 1141-1160)	2005-2006 2006-2007 Cum. Avg.			78 <b>87</b> 83	33 <b>35</b> 34	6055 <b>6167</b> 6111	40 <b>41</b> 40
Partially Meets the Standards – The student's responses demonstrate inconsistent ability to select clear sentence improvements that are free of awkwardness or ambiguity; to recognize grammar and usage errors; and to select revisions that add to the clarity and overall effectiveness of a passage. The student's essay demonstrates a developed point of view on the issue and some critical thinking, but may do so inconsistently or with inadequate examples, reasons, or other evidence to support a position. The essay is generally organized and focused, but may demonstrate some lapses in coherence or progression of ideas and may contain errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics. (scaled score 1129-1140)	2005-2006 2006-2007 Cum. Avg.			91 <b>97</b> 94	38 <b>39</b> 38	4916 <b>4723</b> 4820	32 <b>31</b> 32
Does Not Meet the Standards – The student's responses demonstrate limited ability to select clear sentence improvements that are free of awkwardness or ambiguity; to recognize grammar and usage errors; and to select revisions that add to the clarity and overall effectiveness of a passage. The student's essay demonstrates a vague or seriously limited point of view on the issues and weak critical thinking, with inappropriate or insufficient examples, reasons, or other evidence to support a position. The essay is poorly organized and/or focused and may contain an accumulation of errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics that interfere with understanding the message of the essay. (scaled score 1100-1128)	2005-2006 2006-2007 Cum. Avg.			63 <b>61</b> 62	27 <b>24</b> 25	3221 <b>3227</b> 3224	21 <b>21</b> 21



# WRITING RESULTS BY REPORTING SUBGROUPS

					Sc	hool							Dis	trict					St	ate		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Tested		E		M		P		D	Mean Scaled Score	Tested	E	M	P	D	Mean Scaled Score	Tested	E	M	P	D	Mea Scalo Scor
	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	+	N 251	% 2	% 35	%	% 24	1138	N	%	%	%	%	114
Students											251	2	35	39	24	1138	15054	6	41	31	21	"
nicity														İ								
can American											2						290	1	21	31	47	1
erican Indian/Native Alaskan											2				į		78	4	29	37	29	1
an/Pacific Islander											3						193	6	31	35	28	1
panic											1						123	4	30	33	33	1
ite											243	2	35	39	25	1137	14370	6	42	31	21	1
Reported											0						0					
ntified disability																						
S											34	0	9	26	65	1125	1870	0	8	27	65	1
											217	3	39	41	18	1140	13184	7	46	32	15	1
											217	3	39	41	10	1140	13104	,	40	32	15	'
nited English proficient students	s																					
rent LEP in first year											0						7	0	0	0	100	1
rent LEP beyond first year											0						226	1	10	25	63	1
onomically disadvantaged																						
Simulatiy disadvantaged											63	0	27	46	27	1136	3464	2	26	36	37	1
•											188	3	37	i	23	1138	11590	8	45	30	17	'
											100	3	3/	36	23	1136	11590	0	45	30	17	'
ırant																						
<b>.</b>											0						1			!		
											251	2	35	39	24	1138	15053	6	41	31	21	1
nder																				-		
nale											121	1	37	42	20	1139	7401	7	46	31	15	1
e											130	4	32	35	28	1137	7653	5	36	32	28	1
Reported											0						0					
e 1A targeted program																						
5											1						68	0	15	43	43	1
,											250	2	35	39	24	1138	14986	6	41	31	21	1
												_						_				'
ted/talented program																						
3											0						1					
											251	2	35	39	24	1138	15053	6	41	31	21	1
														İ							İ	
														-								
												2	35	39	24	1138		6	41	31		21